

M2R Exam – Semantic web: from XML to OWL

Semantic web part

Duration : 1h

Documents allowed – no communication device allowed

October 2016

Note: Read all the questions carefully before answering.

RDF

Consider the graph G describing holiday packages:

```
_:b1 rdf:type o:Package .           _:b3 rdf:type o:Package .           _:b4 rdf:type o:Package .
_:b1 o:destination d:Salvador .     _:b3 o:destination d:Moskow .       _:b4 o:destination d:Kobe .
_:b1 o:accomodation d:PousadaDesArts . _:b3 o:accomodation d:Metropol .     _:b4 o:accomodation d:ToyofukuRyokan .
d:PousadaDesArts rdf:type o:Pousada . d:Metropol rdf:type o:GrandHotel . d:ToyofukuRyokan o:type o:Ryokan .
_:b1 o:activity _:b2 .               _:b3 o:activity d:VolgaCruise .     _:b4 o:activity _:b5 .
_:b2 rdf:type o:Swimming .           d:VolgaCruise rdf:type o:Cruise .  _:b5 rdf:type o:SwordFighting .
```

1. Draw the graph G .
2. Define an RDF-interpretation \mathcal{I} of G .
3. Given the following graph H :

```
_:x rdf:type o:Package .
_:x o:accomodation _:acc .
_:x o:activity _:act .
```

Does your interpretation satisfies H (said otherwise, is \mathcal{I} a model of H)?

4. Does $G \models H$? Show it.
5. Given the following graph K :

```
_:y rdf:type o:Package .
_:y o:accomodation _:acc .
_:acc rdf:type o:Local .
_:y o:activity _:act .
_:act rdf:type o:Sport .
```

Does $G \models K$? Tell why.

RDFS and OWL interpretation

Consider the ontology O made of the following statements:

```
o:acomodation rdfs:range o:Accomodation .
o:Local rdfs:subClassOf o:Accomodation .
o:Pousada rdfs:subClassOf o:Local .
o:Ryokan rdfs:subClassOf o:Local .
o:GrandHotel rdfs:subClassOf Accomodation .
```

```
o:activity rdfs:range o:Activity .
o:Sport rdfs:subClassOf o:Activity .
o:Swimming rdfs:subClassOf o:Sport .
o:SwordFighting rdfs:subClassOf o:Sport .
o:Visit rdfs:subClassOf o:Activity .
o:Cruising rdfs:subClassOf o:Visit .
```

6. Does $G \models_{RDFS} o:Package \text{ rdf:type rdfs:Class}$?
Does $O \models_{RDFS} o:Package \text{ rdf:type rdfs:Class}$?
7. Does $O \cup G \models_{RDF} K$? $O \cup G \models_{RDFS} K$? Explain why.
8. Given the OWL axiom (making the OWL ontology O'):

```
o:TonicPackage  $\equiv$  o:Package
 $\sqcap \exists o:acomodation.(o:Local \sqcap \geq_1 o:swimmingPool)$ 
 $\sqcap \exists o:activity.o:Sport$ 
```

Give the OWL interpretation of TonicPackage ($E_C(o:TonicPackage)$)?

9. Does $O \cup O' \cup G \models_{OWL} \text{rdf:type o:TonicPackage}$? Tell why.
10. Can you express a SPARQL query returning all $o:TonicPackage$ as defined in the OWL axiom of question 8?